

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Entrance Screening Services

RFP NUMBER - "RFP2006-Security-01"

PROPOSALS DUE BY

January 15, 2007

Table of Contents

I. Introduction – Summary of the Intended Procurement

- 1.1. Issuing Body and Coordinated Procurement
- 1.2. RFP Layout and Sections
- 1.3. Project Overview
- 1.4. Subcontractors

II. Procurement and Evaluation Process

- 2.1. Procurement Schedule and General Instructions
 - 2.1.1 Contact List
 - 2.1.2 Disposition of Material and Confidential or Proprietary Information
 - 2.1.3 Proposal Preparation Costs
- 2.2. Pre-Submittal Process
 - 2.2.1 Request for Clarifications or Modifications
 - 2.2.2 Ambiguity, Discrepancies, Omissions
 - 2.2.3 Contact with Court
 - 2.2.4 RFP Addenda
- 2.3. Submission of Proposals
 - 2.3.1 Proposal Delivery
 - 2.3.2 Amendment or Withdrawal of Proposals
 - 2.3.3 Mistake in Proposal
 - 2.3.4 Error in Submitted Proposals
 - 2.3.5 Authorized Signatures, Validity Period of Proposals
 - 2.3.6 Knowledge of Requirements
 - 2.3.7 Independence of Proposal
 - 2.3.8 Covenant Against Gratuities
- 2.4. Overview of Evaluation Process
 - 2.4.1 Evaluation Committee
 - 2.4.2 Reservation of Rights
 - 2.4.3 Evaluation of Cost Sheets
 - 2.4.4 Requests for Additional Information
- 2.5. Minimum Qualifications
- 2.6. Evaluation Criteria
- 2.7. Interviews and Negotiations
 - 2.7.1 Interviews
 - 2.7.2 Negotiations
 - 2.7.3 Payment
 - 2.7.4 News Releases
- 2.8. Award of Contract
- 2.9. Protest Procedures
 - 2.9.1 General
 - 2.9.2 Prior to Submission of Proposal
 - 2.9.3 After Award
 - 2.9.4 Form of Protest
 - 2.9.5 Determination of Protest Submitted Prior to Submission of Proposal
 - 2.9.6 Determination of Protest Submitted After Submission of Proposal
 - 2.9.7 Appeals Process
 - 2.9.8 Protest Remedies

III. Proposal Format and Content

- 3.1 Executive Summary
 - 3.1.1 Executive summary content
- 3.2 Company Information
 - 3.2.1 Company Background Information
- 3.3 Company Profile and California Locations
- 3.4 Experience and Qualifications
 - 3.4.1 Prior Experience and References
- 3.5 Technical Approach and Methodology
 - 3.5.1 Work Plan and Methodology
 - 3.5.2 Customer Service
- 3.6 Cost Proposal
 - 3.6.1 Government Rates
 - 3.6.2 Pricing and Price Adjustments
- 3.7 Required Proposal Forms and Documents
 - 3.7.1 Required Forms
 - 3.7.2 Acceptance of Terms

IV. Statement of Work

- 4.1 Description of Products and Services to be Provided
 - 4.1.1 General Description Scope of Work
 - 4.1.1.1 Part I Introduction
 - 4.1.1.2 Part II Scope of Services
 - 4.1.2 Technical Specifications for ENTRANCE SCREENING SERVICES
 - 4.1.2.1 Location Profiles
 - 4.1.2.1.1 Courthouse Annex Building Profile
 - 4.1.2.2 Certifications and Verifications
- 4.2 Customer Service
- 4.3 Acceptance of Service
- 4.4 Invoicing

General Conditions

See Attachment A

Attachments

- Attachment A Contract Terms and Conditions; Special Conditions
- Attachment B Court Location
- Attachment C Vendor Certification Form
- Attachment D Pricing Sheets (note multiple pricing sheets within Excel Workbook)
- Attachment E Acceptance and Sign-Off Form

I. <u>INTRODUCTION – SUMMARY OF THE INTENDED PROCUREMENT</u>

1.1. Issuing Body

The Superior Court of California, County of San Luis Obispo ("Court") is issuing this Request for Proposal ("RFP") to provide the Court with competitive bids for Entrance Screening Services for the Court's main courthouse.

1.2. RFP Organization and Sections

This RFP is organized in sections as follows:

- I. Introduction Summary of the Intended Procurement
- II. Procurement and Evaluation Process
- III. Proposal Format and Content
- IV. Statement of Work
- V. General Conditions
- VI. Attachments

1.3 Project Overview

The Court is requesting proposals from highly qualified vendors with expertise in providing government building security services.

The Court intends to award a contract to a vendor that is able to provide a thorough, comprehensive assessment of needs and services to fulfill those needs as further described in <u>Section IV</u> of this RFP. However, the Court reserves the right to reject any or all proposals, in whole or in part, submitted in response to this RFP. The Court further reserves the right to make no award and to modify or cancel, in whole or in part, this RFP.

1.4 Subcontractors

Subcontractors should not be proposed for this RFP.

II. PROCUREMENT AND EVALUATION PROCESS

2.1 Procurement Schedule and General Instructions

The Court has developed the following list of key events from RFP issuance through notice contract award. All deadlines are subject to change at the Court's discretion.

No.	<u>EVENTS</u>	Key Dates
1	Issue RFP	December 6, 2006
2	End of Open Period for Written Questions and Responses	December 15, 2006
3	Deadline for Submissions of Responses and Proposals - Proposal Due Date and Time	Friday, January 15 2007, 4 p.m. (Pacific Time)
5	Completion of Response/Proposal Evaluations	January 12, 2007
6	Notification of Finalist(s)	January 15, 2007
7	Negotiation of Agreement for Services (estimated)	January 22, 2007
8	Selection of Contractor	January 22, 2007
9	Notice of Intent to Award (estimated)	January 22, 2007
10	Notice of Award (estimated)	January 22, 2007
11	Contract Period Begins	January 29, 2007 *

* Dates subject to change based on the construction schedule and configuration of the screening area.

The RFP and any addenda that may be issued will be available on the following website, referred to individually and collectively as "Court website": http://www.slocourts.net/

2.1.1 Contact List

Submittal Contact: Hector Gonzalez Project Manager: Hector Gonzalez

Contracting Officer: Honorable Roger Picquet, Presiding Judge

Court Executive Officer: Wayne Hall

San Luis Obispo Superior Court 1035 Palm Street, room 385 San Luis Obispo, Ca. 93408 Phone: 805-788-2936

Phone: 805-788-2936 Fax: 805-781-1159

E-mail: Hector.Gonzalez@slo.courts.ca.gov

Questions concerning this Request for Proposal and Quote for Services MUST be directed in writing to:

Hector Gonzalez
Court Management Analyst
Superior Court of California
for the County of San Luis Obispo
San Luis Obispo Superior Court
1035 Palm street, room 385
San Luis Obispo, Ca. 93408
E-mail: Hector.Gonzalez@slo.courts.ca.gov

Hard copies (no fax or email submissions will be considered) must be delivered by the submission due date, with the envelope clearly marked as follows:

PROPOSAL FOR ENTRANCE SCREENING SERVICES

Hector Gonzalez Court Management Analyst Superior Court of California for the County of San Luis Obispo San Luis Obispo Superior Court 1035 palm street, room 385 San Luis Obispo, Ca. 93408

Respondents are encouraged to develop responses consistent with their company or agency standards.

2.1.2 Disposition of Material and Confidential or Proprietary Information

All materials submitted in response to the solicitation document will become the property of the Court and will be returned only at the Court's option and at the expense of the vendor submitting the proposal. One copy of a submitted proposal will be retained for official files and become a public record. Any material that a vendor considers as confidential but does not meet the disclosure exemption requirements of the California Public Records Act should not be included in the vendor's proposal as it may be made available to the public. Even though the Public Records Act (PRA) does not apply to the Court, the Court's policy is to look to the PRA for guidance in responding to requests for documents.

2.1.3 Proposal Preparation Costs

Vendors submitting proposals do so entirely at their expense. There is no express or implied obligation by the Court to reimburse a vendor for any costs incurred in preparing or submitting proposals, providing additional information when requested by the Court, participating in any selection interviews or product demonstrations, or participating in this procurement.

2.2 Pre-Submittal Process

2.2.1 Request for Clarifications or Modifications

Vendors interested in responding to this solicitation may submit questions on procedural matters related to the RFP or requests for clarification or modification of this solicitation document, including questions regarding the General Conditions in <u>Section V</u>, to the Project Manager. If the vendor is requesting a change, the request must set forth the

recommended change and the vendor's reasons for proposing the change. All questions and requests must be submitted in writing to the Submittal Contact listed in <u>Section 2.1.1</u> no later than the date specified in <u>Section 2.1.</u>, Procurement Schedule and General Instructions. <u>Questions or requests submitted after the due date will not be answered.</u>

Without disclosing the source of the question or request, the Project Manager will provide a copy of the questions to potential proposers or, if appropriate, post a copy of the questions and the Court's responses on the Court website.

If a vendor's question relates to a proprietary aspect of its proposal and the question would expose proprietary information if disclosed to competitors, the vendor may submit the question in writing, conspicuously marking it as "CONFIDENTIAL." With the question, the vendor must submit a statement explaining why the question is sensitive. If the Court concurs that the disclosure of the question or answer would expose proprietary information, the question will be answered, and both the question and answer will be kept in confidence. If the Court does not concur regarding the proprietary nature of the question, the question will not be answered in this manner and the vendor will be notified.

2.2.2 Ambiguity, Discrepancies, Omissions

If a vendor submitting a proposal discovers any ambiguity, conflict, discrepancy, omission, or other error in this solicitation document, the vendor shall immediately provide the Submittal Contact listed in <u>Section 2.1.1</u> written notice of the problem and request that the solicitation document be clarified or modified. Without disclosing the source of the request, the Court may modify the solicitation document prior to the date fixed for submission of proposals by providing an addendum to potential proposers or, if identified in <u>Section 2.1</u>, by posting the addendum on the Court website.

If prior to the date fixed for submission of proposals a vendor submitting a proposal knows of or should have known of an error in the solicitation document but fails to notify the Court of the error, the vendor shall propose at its own risk, and if the vendor is awarded the contract, the vendor shall not be entitled to additional compensation or time by reason of the error or its later correction.

2.2.3 Contact with Court

Vendors are specifically directed NOT to contact any Court, County or Sheriff's personnel for meetings, conferences, or discussions that are specifically related to this RFP at any time prior to any award of a contract. Unauthorized contact with any Court personnel or consultants may be cause for rejection of the vendor's response.

2.2.4 RFP Addenda

The Court may modify this solicitation document prior to the date fixed for submission of proposals by providing notice to potential proposers or, if identified in <u>Section 2.1</u>, by posting an addendum on the Court's website. If any potential vendor determines that an addendum unnecessarily restricts its ability to propose, it must notify the Submittal Contact listed in <u>Section 2.1.1</u> no later than three (3) business days following the date the addendum provided or posted.

Cost proposals shall reflect all addenda issued by the Court. Failure to do so will permit the Court to interpret the proposal to include all addenda issued in any resulting contract.

2.3 Submission of Proposals

2.3.1 Proposal Delivery

The following must be received no later than the Proposal Due Date and time specified in <u>Section 2.1</u> (the "Proposal Closing Time") at the address listed in <u>Section 2.1.1</u> for the Submittal Contact:

- Five copies, consisting of one original proposal and four duplicates with a separate unbound original of the cost proposals; AND
- On a CD disc, one electronic copy of the proposal in word processing (WordPerfect or Word) or PDF format, and one electronic formatted copy of the cost proposal in Excel (or compatible).

All proposals must be submitted in sealed envelopes, preferably double enveloped. The outside envelope must be clearly marked with the RFP Number, Project Title, the Proposal Due Date, and the proposer's name. The cost proposal may be included in the proposal marked "Cost Proposal" and the proposer's name.

The hard copies and electronic copies of the original proposal may or may not include hard copy cost proposal information. However, an electronic formatted copy of the cost proposal in Excel (or compatible) must be included in the proposal in order for the proposal to be considered complete. Proposals received prior to the Proposal Closing Time that are marked properly will be securely kept, unopened until the Proposal Closing Time. Late proposals will not be considered.

All proposals must be delivered via U.S. Mail, common carrier, or hand delivery. A receipt should be requested for hand delivered material. Fax or email submissions will not be considered.

The proposer is solely responsible for ensuring that the full proposal is received by the Court in accordance with the solicitation requirements, prior to the date and time specified in the solicitation, and at the place specified. The Court shall not be responsible for any delays in mail or by common carriers or by transmission errors or delays or missed delivery.

2.3.2 Amendment or Withdrawal of Proposals

A vendor may amend its proposal prior to the Proposal Closing Time. All amendments must be in writing and received by the Court prior to the Proposal Closing Time.

A vendor may withdraw its proposal at any time prior to the Proposal Closing Time by notifying the Submittal Contact listed in <u>Section 2.1.1</u> in writing of its withdrawal. Amendments or withdrawals offered in any other manner than described above will not be considered. Proposals cannot be amended or withdrawn after the Proposal Closing Time.

2.3.3 Mistake in Proposal

If prior to a contract award, a proposer discovers a mistake in their proposal that renders the proposer unwilling to perform under any resulting contract, the proposer must immediately notify the Submittal Contact listed in Section 2.1.1 in writing and request to withdraw the proposal. It shall be solely within the Court's discretion as to whether withdrawal will be permitted. If the solicitation contemplated evaluation and award of "all or none" of the items, then any withdrawal must be for the entire proposal. If the solicitation provided for evaluation and award on a line item or combination of items basis, the Court may consider permitting withdrawal of specific line item(s) or combination of items.

2.3.4 Error in Submitted Proposals

If an error is discovered in a vendor's proposal, the Court may at its sole option retain the proposal and allow the proposer to submit certain arithmetic corrections. The Court may, at its sole option, allow the proposer to correct obvious clerical errors. In determining if a correction will be allowed, the Court will consider the conformance of the proposal to the format and content required by the solicitation, the significance and magnitude of the correction, and any unusual complexity of the format and content required by the solicitation.

If the proposer's intent is clearly established based on review of the complete proposal submitted, the Court may, at its sole option, allow the proposer to correct an error based on that established intent.

2.3.5 Authorized Signatures, Validity Period of Proposals

Proposals must include the vendor name, address, telephone and facsimile numbers, and federal tax identification number. The proposal must be signed by a duly authorized officer or employee of the vendor and include the name, title, address, and telephone number of the individual who is the proposer's designated representative.

Proposals will be valid for ninety (90) days after the Proposal Due Date specified in <u>Section 2.1</u> ("Proposal Validity Date"). In the event a final contract has not been awarded by the date specified in <u>Section 2.1</u>, the Court reserves the right to negotiate extensions to the Proposal Validity Date.

2.3.6 Knowledge of Requirements

The vendor shall carefully review all documents referenced and made a part of the solicitation document to ensure that all information required to properly respond has been submitted or made available and all requirements are priced in the proposal. Failure to examine any document, drawing, specification, or instruction will be at the proposer's sole risk.

Proposers shall be responsible for knowledge of all items and conditions contained in their proposals and in this RFP, including any Court issued clarifications, modifications, amendments, or addenda. The Court will provide notice to perspective proposers or, if identified in Section 2.1, post addenda and clarifications to the Court website; however, it is the proposer's responsibility to ascertain that the proposal includes all addenda issued prior to the Proposal Due Date.

2.3.7 Independence of Proposal

The proposer represents and warrants that by submitting its proposal it did not conspire with any other vendor to set costs in violation of anti-trust laws.

2.3.8 Covenant against Gratuities

Proposer warrants by signing its proposal that no gratuities, in the form of entertainment, gifts, or otherwise, were offered by the proposer or any agent, director, or representative of the proposer, to any officer, official, agent, or employee of any Court, County or Sheriff's office with a view toward securing award of or securing favorable treatment with respect to any determinations concerning the performance of any resulting contract. For breach or violation of this warranty, the Court will have the right to terminate any resulting contract in whole or in part. The right and remedies of the

Court provided in this provision shall not be exclusive and are in addition to any other rights and remedies provided by law or under the resulting contract.

2.4 Overview of Evaluation Process

2.4.1 Evaluation Committee

The Court will conduct a comprehensive, fair, and impartial evaluation of proposals received in response to this RFP. All proposals received from vendors will be reviewed and evaluated by a committee of qualified personnel ("Evaluation Committee"). The name, titles, or experience of the individual members will not be made available to any vendor. The Evaluation Committee will first review and screen all proposals submitted, except for the cost proposals, according to the minimum qualifications set forth in Section 2.5.

Vendors satisfying the minimum qualifications will then be evaluated in accordance with the evaluation criteria set forth in <u>Section 2.6</u>. The Evaluation Committee will first review and complete the evaluation of the technical proposals, without the cost proposal. Thereafter, the cost proposals will be opened, reviewed, and evaluated to determine an overall evaluation score.

2.4.2 Reservation of Rights

The Court, in its complete discretion, may eliminate proposals that have not meet the minimum qualifications of <u>Section 2.5</u>, or have not scored adequately in relation to other proposals to warrant further consideration. The Court reserves the right to reject any or all proposals, in whole or in part, and may or may not waive any immaterial deviation or defect in a proposal.

The Court's waiver of an immaterial deviation or defect shall in no way modify the solicitation document or excuse a vendor from full compliance with solicitation document specifications.

If a proposal fails to meet a material solicitation document requirement, the proposal may be rejected. A deviation is material to the extent that a response is not in substantial accord with the requirements of the solicitation document. Material deviations cannot be waived.

Unless otherwise specified in the solicitation, the Court may accept any item or combination of items as specified in the solicitation, of any proposal unless the proposer expressly restricts an item or combination of items in its proposal and conditions its response on receiving all items for which it provided a proposal. In the event that the proposer so restricts its proposal, the Court may consider the proposer's restriction and evaluate whether the award on such basis will result in the best value to the Court or may determine in its sole discretion that such restriction is non-responsive and renders the proposer ineligible for further evaluation.

The Court reserves the right to negotiate with proposers who have presented, in the opinion of the Evaluation Committee, the best proposal in an attempt to reach a contract. If no contract is reached, the Court can negotiate with other proposers or make no award under this RFP. At any time the Evaluation Committee can reject all proposals and make no award under this RFP. Moreover, the Court reserves the right to reconsider any proposal submitted at any phase of the procurement. It also reserves the right to meet with vendors to gather additional information.

Proposals that contain false or misleading statements may be rejected if in the Court's opinion the information was intended to mislead the Court regarding a requirement of the solicitation document.

2.4.3 Evaluation of Cost Proposal Sheets

Cost Proposal sheets will be reviewed only if a proposal is determined to be otherwise qualified. All figures entered on the cost sheets must be clearly legible and must be printed in ink or type written. No erasures are permitted. Errors may be crossed out and corrections printed in ink or typewritten adjacent and must be initialed in ink by the person signing the proposal. If the electronic copy of the cost sheets contains a discrepancy with the printed cost sheets, the costing on the printed cost sheets will be evaluated.

Where more than one line item is specified in the solicitation, the Court reserves the right to determine the highest evaluated proposer, either on the basis of individual items, combination of items as specified in the solicitation, or on the basis of all items included in the solicitation, unless otherwise expressly provided.

2.4.4 Requests for Additional Information

The Court reserves the right to seek clarification or additional information from any proposer throughout the solicitation process. The Court may require a proposer's representative to answer questions during the evaluation process with regard to the vendor's proposal. Failure of a proposer to demonstrate that the claims made in its proposal are in fact true may be sufficient cause for deeming a proposal non-responsive.

2.5 Minimum Qualifications

To be considered for full evaluation and possible award, proposers must first meet the threshold minimum qualification requirements listed in the following table (minimum requirements can be met by combining experience, expertise, and resources of vendor):

No.	Minimum Qualifications
1	Three or more years experience working with government entities/public sector customers.
2	Vendor is not currently under suspension or debarment by any state, county, municipal or federal government agency and is not tax delinquent with the State of California, County of San Luis Obispo, City of San Luis Obispo or Federal Government (reference Attachment C , Vendor Certification Form).
3	Vendor must have all required licenses and permits to conduct business in the State of California, County of San Luis Obispo, and City of San Luis Obispo and must be in good stand with applicable trade associations, certification boards, or other regulatory industries.
4	Insurance minimum of \$1 million general liability and professional liability insurance including property damage. Bonded.
5	Five years of security industry experience at a level consistent with the scope and requirements of this project. Successful completion of similar project within the past three years for a similar site that is still in operation is preferred.
6	Financially sound with a documented ability to expand service levels as requested by the Court.
7	Equal Opportunity Employer

8	Security personnel must have current certifications and Guard Cards; and must meet any other licensing requirements. Personnel must of passed a background check administered by vendor meets the standards of the San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Office and San Luis Obispo Superior Court.
9	Ability to have supervisor on site to deal with personnel matters within twenty-four (24) hour of contact by Court or Sheriff

The proposer must state specifically in its Executive Summary (see <u>Section 3.1</u>) how it will comply with each minimum qualification specified above. Subject to the Court's right in its complete discretion to waive minor deviations or defects, only those proposals that meet all of the foregoing minimum qualifications shall be considered for a full evaluation and a possible contract award.

Vendors who fail to meet any of the listed minimum qualifications will be notified in writing, and will have three (3) business days from receipt of such notification to file proof that all such qualifications are met.

2.6 Evaluation Criteria

Proposals will be evaluated to determine the proposal or proposals that offers the best value to the Court. The evaluation will be based upon the following criteria, listed in order of descending priority. Although some factors are weighted more than others, all are considered necessary, and a proposal must be technically acceptable in each area to be eligible for award. With regards to cost, the Court reserves the right, in its sole discretion, to reject any proposal whose price is outside of the competitive range.

Evaluative Components:

- a. Cost/Pricing factors.
- b. Experience on similar assignment.
- c. Reasonableness of cost projections
- d. Financial viability and stability
- e. Credentials, certifications, level of background checks and appropriateness of uniform for staff to be assigned to provide services.
 - f. Ability to meet timing requirements to provide services.
 - g. Implementation Plan
 - h. Timeliness of Delivery
 - i. References

2.7 Interviews and Negotiations

2.7.1 Interviews

Following the initial screening of proposals, the Court reserves the right to require, and each proposer must be prepared to conduct, oral presentations and other discussions (written or verbal) on the content of its proposal. If the Court determines that interviews or presentations are required, selected proposers will be notified in writing of the date, place, time and format of the interview or presentation. Proposers will be responsible for all costs related to the interview, which, at the Court's sole discretion, may be in-person and/or by teleconference. Failure to participate in such interviews or presentations shall result in a proposer's disqualification from further consideration.

2.7.2 Negotiations

If the Court desires to enter into negotiations, they will do so with one or more proposers, at their discretion. If the Court enters into negotiations and no contract is

reached, the Court can negotiate with the other proposers or make no award under this RFP. The Court reserves the right to award a contract, if any, without negotiations.

2.7.3 Payment

Payment terms will be in accordance with the payment provisions of <u>Attachment A</u>, Contract Terms and Conditions. THE COURT DOES NOT MAKE ANY ADVANCE PAYMENT FOR GOODS OR SERVICES. Payment is made based upon completion of tasks as provided in the contract between the Court and any selected vendor.

2.7.4 News Releases

News releases pertaining to the award of any contract resulting from this solicitation may not be made by a vendor without the prior written approval of the Superior Court of California, County of San Luis Obispo, noted in Section 2.1.1.

2.8 Award of Contract

The Evaluation Committee will make a final recommendation for award of the contract. Upon award, the successful proposer will be required to execute a Contract in accordance with the Statement of Work in <u>Section IV</u> and the General Conditions in <u>Section V</u>, and provide a certificate of insurance in conformance with the requirements set forth in the General Conditions within thirty (30) business days of award. The period for execution of the Contract may be changed by mutual contract of the parties. Contracts are not effective until signed by both parties.

2.9 Protest Procedures

2.9.1 General

Failure of proposer to comply with the protest procedures set forth in this <u>Section 2.9</u>, will render a protest inadequate and untimely, and will result in rejection of the protest. In no event shall a protest be considered if all submittals are rejected or after a contract has been executed.

2.9.2 Prior to Submission of Proposal

An interested party that is an actual or prospective proposer with a direct economic interest in the procurement may file a protest based on allegedly restrictive or defective specifications or other improprieties in the solicitation process that are apparent, or should have been reasonably discovered prior to the submission of a proposal. Such protest must be received prior to the Proposal Closing Time.

The protestor will have exhausted all administrative remedies specified in <u>Section 2.2.1</u>, Request for Clarification or Modifications; <u>Section 2.2.2</u>, Ambiguity, Discrepancies, Omissions; <u>Section 2.2.4</u>, RFP Addenda; and this Section as applicable, prior to submitting the protest. Failure to do so may be grounds for denying the protest.

2.9.3 After Award

A vendor submitting a proposal may protest the award based on allegations of improprieties occurring during the proposal evaluation or award period if it meets all of the following conditions:

- a. The vendor has submitted a proposal that it believes to be responsive to the solicitation document.
- b. The vendor believes that its proposal meets the administrative and technical requirements of the solicitation, proposes items and/or services of proven quality and performance, and offers a competitive cost; and

c. The vendor believes that the Court has incorrectly selected another vendor submitting a proposal for an award.

Such protests must be received no later than five (5) business days after the protesting party receives a no-award notification.

2.9.4 Form of Protest

A vendor who is qualified to protest should submit the protest to the individual listed in the Submission of Proposal section of this RFP who will forward the matter to the appropriate Contracting Officer.

- a. The protest must be in writing and sent by certified or registered mail or delivered personally to the address noted above. If the protest is hand-delivered, a receipt must be requested.
- b. The protest shall include the name, address, telephone and facsimile numbers of the party protesting or their representative.
- c. The title of the solicitation document under which the protest is submitted shall be included.
- d. A detailed description of the specific legal and factual grounds of protest and any supporting documentation shall be included.
- e. The specific ruling or relief requested must be stated.

The Court, at its discretion, may make a decision regarding the protest without requesting further information or documents from the protestor. Therefore, the initial protest submittal must include all grounds for the protest and all evidence available at the time the protest is submitted. If the protestor later raises new grounds or evidence that was not included in the initial protest but which could have been raised at that time, the Court will not consider such new grounds or new evidence.

2.9.5 Determination of Protest Submitted Prior to Submission of Proposal

Upon receipt of a timely and proper protest based on allegedly restrictive or defective specifications or other improprieties in the solicitation process that are apparent, or should have been reasonably discovered prior to the submission of a proposal, the Court will provide a written determination to the protestor prior to the Proposal Closing Time. If required, the Court may extend the Proposal Closing Time to allow for a reasonable time to review the protest. If the protesting party elects to appeal the decision, the protesting party will follow the appeals process outlined below and the Court, at its sole discretion, may elect to withhold the contract award until the protest is resolved or denied or proceed with the award and implementation of the contract.

2.9.6 Determination of Protest Submitted After Submission of Proposal

Upon receipt of a timely and proper protest, the Court will investigate the protest and will provide a written response to the vendor within a reasonable time. If the Court requires additional time to review the protest and is not able to provide a response within ten (10) business days, the Court will notify the vendor. If the protesting party elects to appeal the decision, the protesting party will follow the appeals process outlined below and the Court, at its sole discretion, may elect to withhold the contract award until the protest is resolved or denied or proceed with the award and implementation of the contract.

2.9.7 Appeals Process

The Contracting Officer's decision shall be considered the final action by the Court unless the protesting party thereafter seeks an appeal of the decision by filing a request for appeal with the Court Executive Officer, Wayne Hall, noted in <u>Section 2.1.1</u> within five (5) calendar days of the issuance of the Contracting Officer's decision.

The justification for appeal is limited to:

- A. Facts and/or information related to the protest, as previously submitted, that were not available at the time the protest was originally submitted; or
- B. The Contracting Officer's decision contained errors of fact, and that such errors of fact were significant and material factors in the Contracting Officer's decision; or
- C. The decision of the Contracting Officer was in error of law or regulation.

The request for appeal shall include:

- (1) The name, address telephone and facsimile numbers of the vendor filing the appeal or their representative;
- (2) A copy of the Contracting Officer's decision;
- (3) The legal and factual basis for the appeal; and
- (4) The ruling or relief requested. Issues that could have been raised earlier will not be considered on appeal.

Upon receipt of a request for appeal, the Court Executive Officer, Wayne Hall, will review the request and the decision of the Contracting Officer and shall issue a final determination. The decision of the Court Executive Officer, Wayne Hall, shall constitute the Court's final action.

2.9.8 Protest Remedies

If the protest is upheld, the Court will consider all circumstances surrounding the procurement in its decision for a fair and reasonable remedy, including the seriousness of the procurement deficiency, the degree of prejudice to the protesting party or to the integrity of the competitive procurement system, the good faith efforts of the parties, the extent of performance, the cost to the Court, the urgency of the procurement, and the impact of the recommendation(s). The Court may recommend ay combination of the following remedies:

- a. Terminate the contract for convenience;
- b. Re-compete the contract:
- c. Issue a new solicitation;
- d. Refrain from exercising options to extend the term under the contract, if applicable;
- e. Award a contract consistent with statute or regulation; or
- f. Other such remedies as may be required to promote compliance.

III. PROPOSAL FORMAT AND CONTENT

Responsive proposals should provide straightforward, concise information that satisfies the requirements of this solicitation. Expensive bindings, color displays, and the like are not necessary or desired. Emphasis should be placed on conformity to the Court's instructions, requirements of this solicitation, and the completeness and clarity of content.

3.1 Executive Summary

3.1.1 Executive Summary Content

The proposer must provide an Executive Summary of its proposal. The Executive Summary should be a "high-level", general overview of how the vendor proposes to accomplish the requirements of this RFP. The Executive Summary should demonstrate the proposer's understanding of the requirements. The proposer must also address in this

section how it meets the minimum qualification requirements in <u>Section 2.5</u>. The Executive Summary should include the vendor information, validity period, and authorized signature, as required in <u>Section 2.3.5</u>.

3.2 Company Information

3.2.1 Company Background Information

The Court requires the vendor to be a reputable company of strong financial standing with experience in the security industry. The vendor's proposal must provide the information requested below. The information to be provided is as follows:

- a. Complete name and address.
- b. Federal tax identification number.
- c. If incorporated, state in which incorporated.
- d. A short narrative description of the vendor's organization, including organization charts and indication of company officers where applicable.
- e. Principal type of business.
- f. Total number of years in business.
- g. Number of years providing products and services similar in size and scope to those requested in this RFP.
- h. An audited profit and loss statement and balance sheet for the vendor's last three (3) fiscal years. If a company is privately owned, this information will be kept confidential by the Court. These financial statements must be contained in a separate volume.
- i. Significant transactional events in the past five (5) years such as: bankruptcies, mergers, acquisitions, initial public offerings (IPO's).
- j. Annual contract value of the vendor's three (3) largest contracts for similar products and services in the past three (3) years.
- k. Percent of turnover of service staff for each of the last three (3) years in the vendor's organization that will be responsible for providing products and services described in this RFP (e.g. Security Guard, Supervisor, Regional Manager, etc.).

3.3 Company Profile and California Locations

Vendor shall provide a short description of its company. In addition, the vendor shall list all of its California office locations and shall include a statement as to whether it can provide products and services to the Court location, as listed in Attachment B.

3.4 Experience and Qualifications

3.4.1 Prior Experience and References

The Court requires the vendor have prior experience in all aspects of the products and services described in this RFP for customers similar to or with relevance in the size, complexity and scope of this RFP. Vendor shall:

Describe the vendor's experience as it pertains to providing products and services similar in size, complexity and scope to those required under this RFP and in the manner required pursuant to this RFP.

Provide the names, addresses, and telephone numbers for a minimum of five (5) customers for whom the vendor has provided similar products and services within the last 18 months. The vendor should include a brief description of the scope of products and services provided to the customer and the duration of the contract. The Court may contact some or all of the references provided in order to determine the vendor's performance record. The Court reserves the right to contact references other than those provided in the proposal and to use the information gained from them in the evaluation process.

3.5 Technical Approach and Methodology

3.5.1 Work Plan and Methodology

Vendor shall provide a project plan that describes how the vendor intends to provide the described project implementation and follow-up services. The description shall include, but is not limited to, the following descriptions of:

- Account Team structure and role
- Communication process with the Court
- Organization Chart & Staff List for vendor employees providing services
- Training (initial and ongoing) for vendor employees providing services

3.5.2 Customer Service

Describe the level of customer service that will be provided by the vendor to the Court, including procedures that will ensure consistency, problem escalation and resolution. The description should include, but is not limited to:

- Vendor customer service organizational structure
- Vendor contact process (phone, email, fax, etc.) for customer service matters.
- Follow up process vendor uses for customer service matters.
- Internal procedures to track customer service contact and issue resolution
- Escalation process to resolve outstanding customer service issues

3.6 Cost Proposal

3.6.1 Government Rates

It is expected that all vendors responding to this solicitation will offer the vendor's government or most favorable comparable rates.

3.6.2 Pricing and Price Adjustments

The Court is exempt from federal excise taxes and no payment will be made for any taxes levied on the vendor's employee's wages. The Court will pay for any applicable State of California or local sales or use taxes on the products provided or the services rendered. Taxes shall be included as a separate line item on a vendor's invoice. The prices proposed in the proposers response will be valid for a minimum of ninety days after any resulting contract is signed.

3.7 Required Proposal Forms and Documents

3.7.1 Required Forms

- a. Cost Proposal Attachment D (in accordance with Section 2.4.1)
- b. Vendor Certification Form Attachment C
- c. Statement of Acceptance of Terms, in accordance with Section 3.7.2

3.7.2 Acceptance of Terms

The vendor's proposal must include a statement as to whether the vendor accepts the General Conditions in <u>Section V</u> or whether the vendor takes any exception to those terms. The vendor will be deemed to have accepted such terms and conditions, except as is expressly called out in the vendor's proposal. If exceptions are taken, vendor must submit a "redlined" version of the term or condition showing all modifications proposed by the vendor.

The vendor must provide an explanation as to why the modification is required. The vendor's willingness to accept the General Conditions, with minor clarifications, shall be an affirmative factor in the evaluation of the vendor's proposal.

Although the Court will consider alternate language proposed by a vendor, the Court will not be bound by contract language received as part of a prospective vendor's response. If the proposer requires that the Court be bound by some or all of the vendor's proposed contract language, the proposal may be considered non-responsive and may be rejected.

IV. STATEMENT OF WORK

4.1 Description of Products and Services to be Provided

4.1.1 General Description

4.1.1.1 Part I - Introduction

The Superior Court of California, County of San Luis Obispo is seeking an independent contractor to provide unarmed Entrance Screening services in the court's main facility, Courthouse Annex, located in 1035 Palm St., San Luis Obispo, California. Specifically, the contractor will provide four (4) civilian personnel who, under the direction of the Court's security officer (County Sheriff), will:

- a. Operate the court's magnetometer and x-ray equipment to screen visitors for weapons and other contraband.
- b. Use hand held wand magnetometers to screen visitors for weapons and other contraband.
- c. Search purses, hand bags, brief cases etc.
- d. Report any dangerous situations to sworn security staff.
- e. Provide general information to the public.
- f. Attend any required training sessions offered through the Sheriff's Office and/or the Court.

4.1.1.2 Part II - Scope of Services

This is a request for staff services only. The Court will provide all screening equipment (x-ray machines and magnetometers).

Contractor will work closely with Sheriff's Office personnel at the screening stations. Deputies will be assigned to oversee the screening operations. Security personnel will confer with the deputies as issues arise in the course of performing the screening functions.

Prior to the start date of the contract, the contractor must provide proof of comprehensive background checks of security personnel performing entrance screening functions. Sheriff's Office may conduct additional comprehensive background investigations on Contractor's security personnel. From time to time, the Sheriff's Office and/or the Court may provide mandatory on-site training for bailiff and security personnel, typically on a court holiday.

Contractor shall provide appropriate Class A uniforms to security personnel, in accordance with dress standards approved by the Sheriff's Office and the Court. The Contractor shall provide a visual depiction of uniform as part of the proposal. The appearance of the uniform will be a factor in the evaluating the proposals submitted.

Contractor will describe the escalation process for Court and Sheriff's Office to address personnel problems, including insubordination, absenteeism, poor performance, tardiness, etc. Contractor will also describe how it will deal with unexpected absences of security personnel caused by illness, failure to appear for work, etc. to ensure the full staffing of the screening function each day.

4.1.2 Technical Specifications for ENTRANCE SCREENING SERVICES

4.1.2.1 Location Profiles

4.1.2.1.1 Courthouse Annex Building Profile

The San Luis Obispo County Courthouse Annex, contains County offices (District Attorney, Planning Department) and the Superior Court facilities. The Superior Court facilities located in the Courthouse Annex are composed of twelve courtrooms and associated jury deliberation rooms, the criminal and civil department clerk's office, and judicial chambers. All court case types are heard at this facility.

There will be only two public entrances to the Courthouse Annex Floor. The Court will provide screening equipment for an entrance screening station at each public entrance. Each station will consist of one magnetometer, one x-ray machine and several hand-held wands. The stations must be staffed during normal business hours from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excepting court holidays.

Approximately 500 visitors conduct court business each day at this facility and between 100 and 200 jurors appear on empanelment days. The peak hours for court visitors are between 8:30 and 10:00 a.m. and 12:30 and 2:00 p.m. During those peak hours, more than 300 visitors will pass through the screening stations.

4.1.2.2 Certifications and Verifications

a. Bidder should have adequate knowledge of the required infrastructure necessary to support the Court's security needs as specified herein.

4.2 Customer Service

The vendor's customer service process shall ensure that all customer service issues are addressed in a consistent manner, including problem escalation and resolution. The customer service process includes, but is not limited to:

- Vendor customer service organizational structure
- Contact process (phone, email, fax, etc.) for customer service matters
- Follow up process for customer service matters
- Internal procedures to track customer service contact and resolution
- Escalation process to resolve outstanding customer service issues

4.3 Acceptance of Service

The Court requires a submitted service intention document to be signed by the project manager in order to be considered as Acceptance of Service. (See Attachment E.)

4.4 Invoicing

The Court will make no payment on invoices until all items inclusive in the invoice have been completed or received and accepted by the Court. (See Attachment E for sample of acceptance document.)

V. GENERAL CONDITIONS

The General Conditions are included in this solicitation document as <u>Attachment A</u>, Contract Terms and Conditions.

VI. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A Contract Terms & Conditions

Special Conditions

Attachment B Court Location

Attachment C Vendor Certification Form

Attachment D Pricing Sheets

Attachment E Acceptance of Service Sign-Off Form

ATTACHMENT A CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The following contract obligations and conditions do not represent all terms and conditions of a proposed contract. Instead they define the key areas for which the Court has defined specific requirements.

1. Insurance

Contractor shall obtain and maintain in full force and effect throughout the term of this Agreement, and thereafter as to matters occurring during the term of this Agreement, the insurance coverage set forth in A, B, and C below:

A. Workers' Compensation Insurance.

To the extent required by law, workers' compensation insurance including, but not limited to, workers' compensation and disability insurance. Upon request of Court, proof of such insurance shall be provided to Court by Contractor.

B. General Liability Insurance.

A general liability insurance policy (bodily injury and property damage) of not less than \$1,000,000 combined single limit per occurrence, issued by a company duly and legally licensed to transact business In the State of California covering liability for any personal injury, including death, to any person and/or damage to the property of any person arising from the acts or omissions of Contractor or any officer, agent, or employee of Contractor under this Agreement.

C. Comprehensive Automobile Liability Insurance.

A comprehensive automobile liability insurance policy (Bodily Injury and Property Damage) on owned, hired, leased and non-owned vehicles used in conjunction with Contractor's business of not less than Three Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$300,000) combined single limit per occurrence.

D. Proof of Coverage.

All insurance coverage referenced in A, B, and C above shall be evidenced by a Certificate of Coverage which shall be filed with the Court Executive Officer prior to commencement of performance of any of the services to be provided under this Agreement; shall name the Administrative Office of the Courts; the Court and its officers, employees and agents; the County of San Luis Obispo and the San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Office and its officers, employees and agents as additional insured; and shall be kept current during the term of this Agreement.

E. Deductibles.

Any deductibles or self-insured retention shall be declared to, and be approved by, the Chief Financial Officer of the Court. At the option of said Chief Financial Officer, either the insurer shall reduce or eliminate such deductibles or self-insurance retention as respects the Court, its officers, employees and agents, or the Contractor shall procure a bond or other security guaranteeing payment of losses and related investigations, claims administration and defense expenses.

2. **Employment Practices**

A. No Discrimination.

During the performance of this Agreement, Contractor shall not deny the benefits thereof to any person on the basis of religion, color, ethnic group identification, sex, age, physical or mental disability, nor shall they discriminate unlawfully against

any employee or applicant for employment because of race, religion, color, national origin, ancestry, physical handicap, mental disability, medical condition, marital status, age or sex. Contractor shall ensure that the evaluation and treatment of employees and applicants for employment are free of such discrimination.

B. Compliance with Laws.

As to any Services actually performed in California by Contractor, such persons shall comply with the provisions of the Fair Employment and Housing Act (Government Code section 1290 et seq.), the regulations promulgated thereunder (Title 2, California Code of Regulations, section 7285.0, et seq.), the provisions of Article 9.5, Chapter 1. Part 1, Division 3, Title 2 of the Government Code (sections 11135 - 11139.5) and any state or local regulations adopted to implement any of the foregoing.

3. Contractor's Indemnity

Contractor shall save, defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the Administrative Office of the Courts; the Court and its administrators, employees, contractors, subcontractors, agents, and affiliates; the County of San Luis Obispo and the San Luis Obispo County Sheriff's Office and its administrators, employees, contractors, subcontractors, agents, and affiliates from and against any and all suits, actions, legal or administrative proceedings, claims, demands, actual damages, fines, punitive damages, losses, costs, liabilities, interest, and attorneys' fees, which result from, arise out of, or are in any way connected with damages or injuries to persons or property caused by the negligent acts or omissions of Contractor or any of its officers, agents, or employees in performance of the Services.

Contractor's Obligations.

1. Performance.

Contractor shall perform the Services with the standard of care, skill, and diligence normally provided by a professional person or firm in the performance of services similar to the Services at the same time, under similar conditions, and in the same or a similar locality.

2. Compliance with laws.

In rendering the Services, Contractor shall comply with all applicable laws and government regulations.

3. Independent Contractor.

Contractor shall perform this Agreement as an independent contractor. Contractor and the officers, agents and employees of Contractor are not, and shall not be deemed Court employees for any purpose, including workers' compensation. Contractor shall, at his own risk and expense, determine the method and manner by which duties imposed on Contractor by this Agreement shall be performed; provided however that Court may monitor the work performed by Contractor. Contractor shall be entitled to none of the benefits accorded to a Court employee. Court shall not deduct or withhold any amounts whatsoever from the compensation paid to Contractor, including, but not limited to amounts required to be withheld for state and federal taxes. Contractor alone shall be responsible for all such payments.

4. Confidential Information.

The Contractor shall not shall disclose the Confidential Information of the Court without the Court's written consent except to the extent required by (i) performance of the Services; (ii) compliance with any Court order or other

governmental directive; or (iii) the protection of Contractor against damages or liabilities arising from performance of the Services, except that no such disclosure shall occur on the grounds of (iii) if such disclosure would violate any state or federal law applicable to Court or County. Each party shall cause its respective administrators, officers, directors, employees, agents, and consultants who have access to the Confidential Information to comply with the terms of this Agreement; provided, however, that such access shall be provided only to those persons whose duties justify the need to know such Confidential Information.

Limitation of Liability.

Notwithstanding any other provisions herein, neither party shall be responsible to the other for any incidental, indirect, or consequential damages suffered by the other.

No Assignments or Subcontracts: Binding Obligation.

A consideration of this Agreement is the personal reputation of Contractor. For this reason, Contractor shall not assign any Services or subcontract any of the Services to any person without the prior written consent of the Court Executive Officer. This Agreement shall be binding upon the successors and permitted assigns of the parties hereto.

Attorney's Fees.

In the event that either party commences legal action of any kind or character to either enforce the provisions of this Agreement or to obtain damages for breach thereof, the prevailing party in such litigation shall be entitled to all costs and reasonable attorney's fees incurred in connection with such action.

Special Conditions - Request for Proposal and Quote for Services

Once proposals have been received, the Court intends to review and rank each of them. The respondents with the best fit and most likely opportunity for success based on those responses may be invited to a meeting to discuss the particulars of their proposals and to further define specific contract deliverables.

Respondents are formally advised of the following conditions of the *Request for Proposal and Quote for Services.*

- A. To be considered the respondent must meet the "Minimum Qualifications" as defined in this document, and the proposal must satisfy the scope of the program as defined in this document. Proposals which do not meet the mandatory requirements as indicated may be considered non-responsive and may be rejected.
- B. The respondents with the highest ranked proposals will be considered for possible interview by a panel comprised of Court and Sheriff's Office management personnel. If interviews do take place, those selected will be notified of the time and place of the interview.
- C. The Court may accept or reject any or all proposals, may cancel, amend or reissue this document at any time prior to services agreement approval and may waive any immaterial defect in a proposal. The Court's waiver of any immaterial defect shall in no way modify the proposal requirements or excuse the respondent from full compliance with the scope of services if awarded the services agreement.
- D. After the evaluation of the proposals and the selection of the successful Contractor, all respondents will be notified in writing as to the selected bidder.
- E. The Court reserves the right to award this services agreement not necessarily to the respondent with the lowest price, but to the firm which the Court determines will provide the best match to the scope of services requirements of the program as defined in this document. The successful respondent shall be chosen based on combination of factors, including but not limited to, compliance with the conditions of this document, the technical evaluation results, and the total price. Final award will be made to the respondents who, in the sole and exclusive judgment of the Court, are best able to provide the necessary security services.
- F. Following the selection of a Contractor, the Court may choose to have further discussions with the Contractor to modify certain aspects of the requested security services as part of the development of a services agreement.

END OF CONTRACT TERMS AND CONDITIONS

ATTACHMENT B COURT LOCATION

The Court is located at:

Superior Court of California San Luis Obispo County Courthouse Annex 1035 Palm St. San Luis Obispo, California

ATTACHMENT C VENDOR CERTIFICATION FORM

debarment by any state, county, munici	(Proposer) is currently not under suspension or pal or federal government agency, and that the Proposer is not ia. I have listed all contracts with government or commercial ng submission of this Proposal.
by a local, state or federal government	roposer is subsequently placed under suspension or debarment entity, or if Proposer subsequently become delinquent in taxes fornia, County of San Luis Obispo, City of San Luis Obispo or may be disqualified.
Signature	
Printed Name	
Title	

Date

VENDOR CERTIFICATION FORM (CONTINUED)

List of all Contracts with Government or Commercial Customers during the Three Years preceding Submission of this Proposal

ATTACHMENT D PRICING SHEETS

Please include a comprehensive statement of costs for the required services as per Section IV.

Attachment E

Acceptance of Service and Sign-Off Form for RFP "RFP2006-Security-01", ENTRANCE SCREENING SERVICES Project

Submission Date:	Description of Work provided by Contractor:		
The work submitted is:			
1) Submitted on time: [] yes []	no. If no, please note length of delay and reasons.		
2) Complete: [] yes [] no. If no	o, please identify incomplete aspects of the Work.		
3) Technically accurate: [] yes []	no. If no, please note corrections required.		
Level of satisfaction: [] Poor [] Fair Comments, if any:	[] Good [] Very Good [] Excellent		
[] Work is accepted.[] Work is unacceptable as noted above	re.		
Name:	Dated:		
Title:			